Australian Journal of Zoology http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/ZO12042

Development of microsatellite markers using 454 sequencing for the rare socially parasitic hoverfly, *Microdon mutabilis*

Juergen von Zum Hof^A, Karsten Schönrogge^B, James M. Cook^C and Michael G. Gardner^{A,D}

^ASchool of Biological Sciences, Flinders University, GPO Box 2100, Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia.

^BCentre for Ecology and Hydrology, CEH Wallingford, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Wallingford, OX10 8BB, UK.

^CSchool of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6BX, UK.

^DCorresponding author. Email: michael.gardner@flinders.edu.au

Abstract. To date there have been only limited fine-scale investigations into the molecular ecology of the European hoverfly, *Microdon mutabilis*, due to the paucity of available polymorphic markers. We describe the development of primers amplifying five novel microsatellite loci using next-generation sequencing (454) and three previously undescribed *M. mutabilis* microsatellite loci using enrichments. In hoverflies from a population in Ireland, the number of alleles per locus ranged from 2 to 16, and the observed heterozygosity ranged between 0.26 and 0.97

Additional keywords: genetic population structure, local adaptation, PCR, social parasite

Received 18 April 2012, accepted 25 July 2012, published online 20 August 2012

The rare European hoverfly, Microdon mutabilis (Syrphidae: Microdontinae), is a cryptic myrmecophilous social parasite that displays extreme host specificity to Formica lemani ant colonies primarily within the British Isles and Scandinavia (Elmes et al. 1999; Schönrogge et al. 2006). Although cause and effect are unclear, the flies infest host ant nests that have high levels of genetic diversity (Gardner et al. 2007). The extreme local specificity is reflected in bioassays where geographic distance from natal nest of ovipositing females affects the survivorship of her eggs (for details see Elmes et al. 1999; Schönrogge et al. 2006) and we predict this could promote localised genetic structuring within the flies. To date there have been only limited fine-scale investigations into the molecular ecology of M. mutabilis due to a lack of available polymorphic markers. An investigation at a fine scale will not only assist in understanding the measures that need to be implemented in the conservation of this rare fly, but also provide insights to the preservation of genetic diversity of its host ant species F. lemani. Here we detail the development of eight microsatellite loci; five of these are novel and three were previously used by Schönrogge et al. (2006) but remain undescribed.

Two different methods of microsatellite development were used. Three loci were isolated using enrichments following Gardner *et al.* (1999) (Mmut9) or Hale *et al.* (2001) (CEH49, CEH52). All remaining loci were developed using nextgeneration sequencing and subsequent bioinformatic screening following Gardner *et al.* (2011). Genomic DNA was extracted using the Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Doncaster,

Australia) from the legs of 15 individuals of M. mutabilis collected from the Burren and from the Isle of Mull, and sequenced on a Titanium GS-FLX (454 Life Sciences/Roche FLX) at the Australian Genomic Research Facility (Brisbane, Australia, www.agrf.org.au) following Gardner et al. (2011). A total of 55 312 reads were obtained with a mean read sequence length of 354 bp from ~19.6 Mb of sequence. The program ODD ver 1.0 (Méglecz et al. 2010) was used on a Windows system with the graphical user interface to screen the 454 raw sequences for microsatellites (newer versions were not available at the time the study was conducted), to check flanking regions to identify unique loci and to design primers for perfect di-, tri- and tetranucleotides with a minimum of eight tandem repeats. Amplicon length was specified between 90 and 450 bp and all other parameters in QDD were set to default. The installation of QDD ver 1.0 followed Méglecz et al. (2010) and also required the installation of ActivePerl ver 5.10 (http://www.activestate.com/ activeperl/), BLAST ver 2.2.18 (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/blast/ executables/), CLUSTALw2 ver 1.83 (Larkin et al. 2007) (ftp:// ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/software/clustalw2/) and Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000) (http://primer3.sourceforge.net/). This process vielded 94 putative microsatellite loci for M. mutabilis.

Following Gardner *et al.* (2011), and using the primer pairs suggested as the 'best' in QDD, 40 loci were tested for polymorphism on eight samples of *M. mutabilis*: four collected from each of The Burren $(53^{\circ}07'29''N, 9^{\circ}05'19''W)$ and the Isle of Mull $(56^{\circ}32'44''N, 6^{\circ}02'13''W)$. PCR amplifications for all loci except MiMut24 were performed in 15-µL reaction volumes

Table 1. Characterisation of Microdon mutabilis loci

N, sample size; *Na*, number of alleles; *Ho* and *He*, observed and expected heterozygosity respectively; PIC, polymorphic information content; *, significance after corrections for multiple tests; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium. Superscripts F, N, V, and P indicate that loci were 5' labelled with the dyes 6-FAM, NED, Vic. and PET respectively. Repeat motif indicates the number of repeats in the sequence used for development

Locus		Primer sequence $(5'-3')$	GenBank accession number	Repeat motif	Ν	Allele size range	Na	Но	Не	PIC	Null allele freq	HWE P
MiMut12	F:	FAAACTGGATGGCATCACCTC	JQ907481	(TGA)8	31	133–136	3	0.257	0.252	0.224	0.018	0.315
	R:	TCTTGAATTCAGCTTTATCGGA										
MiMut14	F:	PAAATAAGGCCAAGACCGGAT	JQ907482	(GAT)8	29	144-160	7	0.500	0.443	0.409	-0.084	0.035
	R:	TGCCCATTAAACATTGCGTA										
MiMut24	F:	^N AAGGAGCTCCATAGCCTTCC	JQ907478	(ATC)8	29	241-247	2	0.387	0.419	0.327	-0.031	0.945
	R:	CAGGACTTGCTAAATAAGGCCA										
MiMut27	F:	^V GAGGCTGGGATTAGCCAACT	JQ907480	(GT)14	27	323-356	5	0.517	0.699	0.629	0.135	0.019
	R:	ACGCACGCACAGTACAAGAC										
MiMut28	F:	^F CACTATCGAGAGCGATGTGC	JQ907479	(ATG)8	31	278-284	3	0.657	0.606	0.523	0.042	0.169
	R:	CACCAACTCTGAGGGAAAGG										
CEH49	F:	VTCCCAACAACATTCTCGTCA	DQ150106	(CA)17	19	139–141	2	0.800	0.513	0.375	-0.231	0.039
	R:	TCTGATAATCTGCGCTTTGG										
CEH52	F:	^P TGTGCATGAACATTAATTTGCTAAC	DQ146463	(TC)16	31	197–249	16	0.971	0.891	0.867	-0.055	0.054
	R:	CCAGAAACGAGAAGAGAAATGG										
Mmut9	F:	^F GCGCATCGTTGAACAC	DQ146464	(AC)23	30	302-313	4	0.412	0.378	0.348	-0.052	0.761
	R:	CGTCTTTGGCGTCTGATAA										

containing $1 \times$ ImmoBuffer, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 200 nM each forward and reverse primer, 0.3U IMMOLASE DNA Polymerase (BIOLINE) and 20–40 ng template gDNA. The reaction conditions for MiMut24 were the same except 2 mM MgCI₂, $1 \times$ PCR Gold Buffer and 0.3U AmpliTaq Gold DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems). The PCR cycling conditions were: initial denaturation step at 94°C (MiMut24) or 95°C (all other loci) for 9 min, followed by 36 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, annealing temperature at 50°C for 30 s, and extension at 45°C for 45 s, followed by a single final extension step at 72°C for 30 min. PCR products were inspected for approximate allele size after separation by electrophoresis in 3% Nu-sieve GTG agarose gel (Cambrex).

We selected eight putative loci that amplified products of the expected size from the 40 trialled, attached florescent labels (FAM, GeneWorks; and NED, PET or Vic., Applied Biosystems) 5' on each forward primer (Table 1) and amplified the loci using the PCR conditions described above. The PCR products were visually inspected on 6% polyacrylamide gels (Gel-scan 2000, Corbett, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia), which revealed that three loci did not produce clearly interpretable bands and these loci were subsequently removed. The five remaining loci and the three previously isolated (CEH49, Mmut9 and CEH52) (Table 1) were amplified in 189 samples of *M. mutabilis* using the two single-locus PCR reactions mentioned above. The PCR products were combined in two pools (Table1) for genotyping on an ABI 3730 DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems) with the size standard GS500 (-250) LIZ and scored with GENEMAPPER ver 3.7 (Applied Biosystems).

To assess the suitability of markers for analysis we genotyped individuals from the study site in The Burren, Ireland. Samples with more than three missing microsatellite loci were removed from the dataset. We used MICROCHECKER 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) to examine each locus for potential scoring errors due to genotyping, stuttering and large allele dropout and found no evidence for errors due to these factors. For each locus (Table 1) we calculated: the polymorphic information content (PIC), the number of alleles, observed (H_0), expected heterozygosity ($H_{\rm E}$), and null allele frequency using Cervus 3.0.3 (Kalinowski et al. 2007), deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium between all pairs of loci using GENEPOP 4.1 (Raymond and Rousset 1995) (1000 dememorisations, 100 batches, 10000 iterations per batch). P-values from HWE tests were manually adjusted for multiple tests of significance using the sequential Bonferroni method (Hochberg 1988). No loci showed significant deviation from HWE expectation after Bonferroni adjustment. No loci pairs showed evidence for linkage disequilibrium after sequential Bonferroni adjustment. The number of alleles and observed heterozygosity ranged from 2 to 16 and 0.257 to 0.971 respectively. No loci exhibited large null allele frequencies (largest 0.135 for MiMut27). With a combined non-exclusion probability (sib identity) of 0.00893, these loci are likely to be informative and valuable for future population-level genetic studies of M. mutabilis.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alison Fitch, Kathy Saint and Terry Bertozzi at the South Australian Museum for technical support.

References

Elmes, G. W., Barr, B., Thomas, J. A., and Clarke, R. T. (1999). Extreme host specificity by *Microdon mutabilis* (Diptera: Syrphidae), a social parasite of ants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 266(1418), 447–453. doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0658

- Gardner, M. G., Cooper, S. J. B., Bull, C. M., and Grant, W. N. (1999). Isolation of microsatellite loci from a social lizard, *Egernia stokesii*, using a modified enrichment procedure. *The Journal of Heredity* **90**, 301–304. doi:10.1093/jhered/90.2.301
- Gardner, M. G., Schonrogge, K., Elmes, G. W., and Thomas, J. A. (2007). Increased genetic diversity as a defence against parasites is undermined by social parasites: *Microdon mutabilis* hoverflies infesting *Formica lemani* ant colonies. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences* 274, 103–110. doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3694
- Gardner, M. G., Fitch, A. J., Bertozzi, T., and Lowe, A. J. (2011). Rise of the machines – recommendations for ecologists when using next generation sequencing for microsatellite development. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11, 1093–1101. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03037.x
- Hale, M. L., Bevan, R., and Wolff, K. (2001). New polymorphic microsatellite markers for the red squirrel (*Sciurus vulgaris*) and their applicability to the grey squirrel (*S. carolinensis*). *Molecular Ecology Notes* 1, 47–49. doi:10.1046/j.1471-8278.2000.00020.x
- Hochberg, Y. (1988). A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. *Biometrika* 75, 800–802. doi:10.1093/biomet/75.4.800
- Kalinowski, S. T., Taper, M. L., and Marshall, T. C. (2007). Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology* 16, 1099–1106. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03089.x
- Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F., Wallace, I. M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., and Higgins, D. G. (2007). ClustalW and ClustalX version 2. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2947–2948. doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btm404

- Méglecz, E., Costedoat, C., Dubut, V., Gilles, A., Malausa, T., Pech, N., and Martin, J.-F. (2010). QDD: a user-friendly program to select microsatellite markers and design primers from large sequencing projects. *Bioinformatics* 26, 403–404. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp670
- Raymond, M., and Rousset, F. (1995). GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *The Journal of Heredity* 86, 248–249.
- Rozen, S., and Skaletsky, H. (2000). Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N. J.*) **132**, 365–386.
- Schönrogge, K., Gardner, M. G., Elmes, G. W., Napper, E. K. V., Simcox, D. J., Wardlaw, J. C., Breen, J., Barr, B., Knapp, J. J., Pickett, J. A., and Thomas, J. A. (2006). Host propagation permits extreme local adaptation in a social parasite of ants. *Ecology Letters* 9, 1032–1040. doi:10.1111/ j.1461-0248.2006.00957.x
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. F., Wills, D. P. M., and Shipley, P. (2004). MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 535–538. doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x