



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology  
CNECT.G – Data  
G.1 – Data Policy and Innovation

**Bernd Carsten STAHL**  
**THE UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM**  
University Park  
NG7 2RD NOTTINGHAM  
UNITED KINGDOM

**Subject: Horizon Europe (HORIZON)**  
**Call: HORIZON-CL4-2025-03**  
**Project: 101296996 — RADIATE**  
**Rejection letter**

Dear Applicant,

I am writing in connection with your proposal for the above-mentioned call.

Having completed the evaluation, we regret to inform you that your proposal cannot be funded because the score obtained does not reach the minimum threshold necessary.

Please find enclosed the evaluation summary report (ESR).

More information on the evaluation of the call is published in a [topic update](#) in the Funding & Tenders Portal.

I would be grateful if you could inform everyone involved in your proposal of this letter.

We thank you for your interest and hope that you will not be discouraged from applying to our calls in the future.

Yours faithfully,

Bjoern JURETZKI  
Head of Unit

Enclosure: Evaluation summary report (ESR)

## Information on the means of redress

If you believe that the rejection of your proposal was based on an error in the selection procedure, you can submit a:

- request for admissibility/eligibility or evaluation review (redress review) — within 30 days of receiving this letter (via your [Funding & Tenders Portal account](#) > My Proposal(s) > Actions > Follow-up > Launch new interaction with the EU)
- action for annulment under Article 263 TFEU — within 2 months of receiving the letter (by application to the [EU General Court](#)).

 Please be aware that complaints against decisions taken by an EU executive agency or other EU body must be directed against that agency/body, NOT against the European Commission.

 You are free to choose any of the above means of redress. However, in the interest of good administration and procedural efficiency, it is recommended to use first the available administrative review procedures (if any). The objective of these procedures is to re-examine the circumstances of the selection procedure and to reach a final decision on your application. Deadlines for further redress will therefore run as from when you receive our reply with the final position.

For more information and conditions (including on other types of complaints, such as to the European Ombudsman, etc), please see the [Online Manual](#) and [IT How To](#).